

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

					H _
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	18
09/328,183	06/08/99	PARISH IV	0	27889-00037	

QM02/0717

EXAMINER

STANLEY R. MOORE
JENKENS & GILCHRIST P.C.
1445 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 3200
DALLAS TX 75202-2799

ATKINSON,C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED:

07/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application N. Applicant(s) O9/328/183 Parish etal.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit A+Kinson 3743					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE					
from the mailing date of this communication.						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/28/	-000					
☐ This action is FINAL .						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 						
Disposition of Claims						
₽Claim(s) /-20	is/are pending in the application.					
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
□ Claim(s) /-20	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	• •					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter 	e priority documents have been					
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth r					
Office	Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ____________

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

Response to Amendment

In view of applicant's addition of claims 2-20, the following restriction requirement is given below.

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

- A) The species as illustrated in Figure 1
- B) The species as illustrated in Figures 2-3
- C) The species as illustrated in Figure 4
- D) The species as illustrated in Figure 5A
- E) The species as illustrated in Figure 5B
- F) The species as illustrated in Figure 5C
- G) The species as illustrated in Figure 7
- H) The species as illustrated in Figure 8

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
PRIMARY FXAMINER

July 14, 2000